Factorization breaking of four-quark condensates in the Nambu Jona Lasinio model

Fabio L. Braghin[1*], Fernando S. Navarra[2†]
[1] *Instituto de Física, Univ. Fed. de Goiás, P.B.131, Campus II, 74001-970, Goiânia, GO, Brazil*
[2] *Instituto de Física, Univ. de São Paulo, Rua do Matão, Travessa R, 187, CEP 05508-090, São Paulo, SP, Brazil*

We investigate the factorization hypothesis of the four-quark condensate $\langle q\bar{q}q\bar{q}\rangle = A\langle q\bar{q}\rangle^2$ by considering the Nambu Jona-Lasinio Model supplemented with sixth and eighth order interactions. For that purpose we use the bosonization method with multiple auxiliary variables. We find that in a simplified U(1) version of the model factorization holds, whereas in the full SU(3)-flavor version of the model factorization is broken by terms which are related to the 't Hooft interaction.

PACS numbers: 12.38.-t, 12.38.Aw, 11.15.Tk, 12.39.Fe,12.39.Mk

arXiv:1404.4094v2 [hep-ph] 3 Oct 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the most interesting features of QCD is its non trivial vacuum, in which there is a complex configuration of quark and gluon fields. The detailed understanding of these vacuum fields will presumably be achieved with lattice QCD calculations. However, so far we know only some expectation values of these fields, the condensates. In particular there are recent lattice calculations [1, 2] of the quark condensate $\langle\bar{q}q\rangle$ and also phenomenological estimates of the quark condensate and of the gluon condensate $\langle F^a_{\mu\nu}F^{a\mu\nu}\rangle$ [3]. In QCD sum rules (QCDSR) [4–9] the mass of a hadron is extracted from the two-point correlation function, on which we perform an operator product expansion (OPE). As a result of the OPE, in the end of the calculation the mass of the hadron is written as a series of terms involving condensates of increasing order, starting with the quark condensate. Condensates of higher order give a decreasing contribution to the mass. In OPE diagrams for traditional hadrons, the number of quark lines is two and three for mesons and baryons respectively. Each of these lines, once we "cut it", can give rise to a $\langle q\bar{q}\rangle$ condensate. When two lines are simultaneously cut, we have the four-quark condensate $\langle q\bar{q}q\bar{q}\rangle$. Over the last ten years we have observed new multiquark "exotic" states, such as the $X(3872)$ [9]. For these states the OPE diagrams contain more lines and higher order quark condensates may play a more important role [10]. The four-quark condensate has not yet been computed in lattice QCD calculations. Since it is a property of the vacuum, this, as well as other condensates, is a universal parameter, i.e., it is the same in every calculation of any hadron mass. Because of this universality, we can calculate a certain number of hadronic masses and, fitting the QCDSR results to data, we can determine the values of the most relevant (and lowest order) condensates. There are large uncertainties in this procedure. In order to reduce the number of unknown parameters, the factorization hypothesis has often been used, according to which we can write:

$$\langle q\bar{q}q\bar{q}\rangle = A\langle q\bar{q}\rangle^2 \tag{1}$$

where A is a constant. There is no justification of the above formula based on first principle calculations. Within some approximations, assuming the vacuum saturation hypothesis or resorting to the large Nc limit the four-quark condensates can be factorized into products of condensates with two quark operators [11]. In [12] an attempt was made to directly calculate the dimension four quark condensate and it was shown that, if nonperturbative effects are small, the factorization (1) is valid. In [13] the authors addressed this issue within the low-energy representation of the condensates provided by Chiral Perturbation Theory. They obtained a formal, model-independent, proof of the non-validity of the factorization for the low-energy sector of QCD.

In the present work we address the issue of factorization in the framework of an extension of the Nambu Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model, which includes higher order interactions. The inclusion of an eighth order interaction term leads to the appearance of the four-quark condensate besides the usual quark-antiquark condensate by considering the auxiliary variable method. This method is usually employed to investigate the emergence of the scalar chiral condensate [14–16] and it will be extended here to incorporate higher order condensates. This extension requires the introduction of new auxiliary variables. As it will be seen, the gap equations mix the dimension two and dimension four condensates and establish a relation between them.

* braghin@ufg.br
† navarra@if.usp.br

The advantage of using the NJL model is that it allows us not only to test the validity of (1) but also to identify the dynamical origin of the factorization breaking, which can be attributed to certain types of interactions.

II. THE NJL MODEL WITH U(1) SYMMETRY

Before starting the full discussion of interacting quarks with three flavors, it is useful first to explore a simpler version of the NJL model with one species of fermion. This U(1) prototype has many of the important features (such as spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking) which we encounter in the full flavor SU(3) version.

In its more traditional version [14], the Nambu Jona-Lasinio model describes the interaction between quarks in the low energy regime through pointlike four-fermion vertices. Its great merit is to incorporate chiral symmetry and spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking. It is also possible to include an 6th order term to account for 't Hooft interactions [14]. We shall use here an extended version [17–19] which includes also an 8th order interaction term. The U(1) Lagrangian density including a chiral invariant eighth order term is given by:

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}\left(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m_0\right)\psi + g_4[(\bar{\psi}P_R\psi)(\bar{\psi}P_L\psi)] + g_8[(\bar{\psi}P_R\psi)(\bar{\psi}P_L\psi)]^2 \tag{2}$$

where

$$P_{R,L} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \gamma_5) \tag{3}$$

In the above Lagrangian, the second term is the usual NJL interaction and the third one is the eighth order interaction term. It is interesting to notice that in the U(1) simplified model the instanton induced 't Hooft term introduces only a correction to the current quark mass m_0 [20] and hence it can be absorbed into a redefinition of m_0, which in this model is a parameter anyway. Therefore we will neglect it in this section. As it will be seen in the next section, in the SU(3) flavor case, the 't Hooft interaction will introduce a sixth order term in the Lagrangian.

The generating functional for this simplified model reads:

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}[\overline{\psi},\psi] \,\exp\, i\int d^4x\, \left\{\overline{\psi}(x)\left(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m_0\right)\psi + \frac{g_4}{4}\left[\left(\overline{\psi}\psi\right)^2 - \left(\overline{\psi}\gamma_5\psi\right)^2\right] + \frac{g_8}{16}\left[\left(\overline{\psi}\psi\right)^2 - \left(\overline{\psi}\gamma_5\psi\right)^2\right]^2\right\} \tag{4}$$

where a normalization constant is implicit. In what follows, we will apply the bosonization prescription, introducing scalar and pseudoscalar auxiliary fields. This is a generalization of the standard bosonization method. The path integral above is multiplied by a constant factor N written as:

$$N = \int \mathcal{D}[\sigma]\, e^{-i\int d^4x \frac{1}{2\alpha}\sigma^2(x)} \int \mathcal{D}[\pi]\, e^{-i\int d^4x \frac{1}{2\alpha}\pi^2(x)} \int \mathcal{D}[\eta]\, e^{-i\int d^4x \frac{1}{2\gamma}\eta^2(x)} \int \mathcal{D}[\zeta]\, e^{-i\int d^4x \frac{1}{2\delta}\zeta^2(x)} \tag{5}$$

where α, γ and δ are constants. We next perform unitary Jacobian shifts of the auxiliary variables such that the polynomial interactions cancel out. For the Lagrangian density given in expression (2) the appropriate shifts of the variables are given by:

$$\sigma^2 \to \left(\sigma - a\,\bar{\psi}\psi\right)^2 \tag{6}$$

$$\pi^2 \to \left(\pi - a\,\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi\right)^2 \tag{7}$$

$$\eta^2 \to \left(\eta - r\,(\bar{\psi}\psi)^2\right)^2 \tag{8}$$

$$\zeta^2 \to \left(\zeta - u\,(\bar{\psi}\psi)^2 - t\,(\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi)^2\right)^2 \tag{9}$$

where a, r, u and t are constants. In Eq. (4) chiral symmetry requires that the bilinears $\bar{\psi}\psi$ and $\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi$ appear with the same weigth. The auxiliary variables σ and π are also "chiral partners" and for this reason they appear multiplied by the same constant $1/2\alpha$ in (5) and then, in (6) and (7) they are shifted by the mentioned bilinears multiplied by the same constant a.

After the multiplication by (5) and the shifts (6), (7), (8) and (9) the generating functional (4) can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned} Z \;=\; N\int \mathcal{D}[\sigma,\pi,\eta,\zeta]\int \mathcal{D}[\overline{\psi},\psi]\;\exp\; i\int d^4x\Big[\overline{\psi}(x)\left(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m_0+\frac{a\sigma}{\alpha}+\frac{a\pi\gamma_5}{\alpha}\right)\psi + \\ +\left(\frac{g_4}{4}-\frac{a^2}{2\alpha}+\frac{r\eta}{\gamma}+\frac{u\zeta}{\delta}\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\psi\right)^2+\left(-\frac{g_4}{4}-\frac{a^2}{2\alpha}-\frac{t\zeta}{\delta}\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\gamma_5\psi\right)^2+\left(\frac{g_8}{16}-\frac{r^2}{2\gamma}-\frac{u^2}{2\delta}\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\psi\right)^4+ \\ +\left(\frac{g_8}{16}-\frac{t^2}{2\delta}\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\gamma_5\psi\right)^4+\left(\frac{g_8}{4}-\frac{ut}{2\delta}\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\psi\right)^2\left(\overline{\psi}\gamma_5\psi\right)^2-\frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha}-\frac{\pi^2}{2\alpha}-\frac{\eta^2}{2\gamma}-\frac{\zeta^2}{2\delta}\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

As it can easily be seen, the fermionic self interaction terms cancel out if the following relations are satisfied:

$$\frac{g_4}{4}-\frac{a^2}{2\alpha}+\frac{r\eta}{\gamma}+\frac{u\zeta}{\delta}=0, \tag{11}$$

$$-\frac{g_4}{4}-\frac{a^2}{2\alpha}-\frac{t\zeta}{\delta}=0, \tag{12}$$

$$\frac{g_8}{16}-\frac{r^2}{2\gamma}-\frac{u^2}{2\delta}=0, \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{g_8}{16}-\frac{t^2}{2\delta}=0, \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{g_8}{4}-\frac{ut}{2\delta}=0, \tag{15}$$

$$\tag{16}$$

Expression (10) becomes then:

$$Z \;=\; N\int \mathcal{D}[\sigma,\pi,\eta,\zeta]\int \mathcal{D}[\overline{\psi},\psi]\;\exp\; i\int d^4x\Big[\overline{\psi}(x)\left(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m_0+\frac{a\sigma}{\alpha}+\frac{a\pi\gamma_5}{\alpha}\right)\psi-\frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha}-\frac{\pi^2}{2\alpha}-\frac{\eta^2}{2\gamma}-\frac{\zeta^2}{2\delta}\Big], \tag{17}$$

The integration over the fermion field can be performed yielding:

$$Z= \quad N'\int \mathcal{D}[\sigma,\pi,\eta,\zeta]\;exp\Big[i\int d^4x\left(-\frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha}-\frac{\pi^2}{2\alpha}-\frac{\eta^2}{2\gamma}-\frac{\zeta^2}{2\delta}\right)+i\,\mathrm{Tr}\ln\left(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m_0+\frac{a\sigma}{\alpha}+\frac{a\pi\gamma_5}{\alpha}\right)\Big], \tag{18}$$

where N' differs from N by a constant factor and Tr stands for traces of all indices: color, flavor and Lorentz indices and a space-time integration. To arrive at this expression the following identity was used: $\det A = \exp \mathrm{Tr}\ln A$. From the above expression we can read the effective action:

$$\mathcal{S}_{eff}=i\,\mathrm{Tr}\ln\left(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m^*\right)-\int d^4x\;\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha}+\frac{\pi^2}{2\alpha}+\frac{\eta^2}{2\gamma}+\frac{\zeta^2}{2\delta}\right) \tag{19}$$

where the following effective mass was defined:

$$m^*=m_0-\frac{a\sigma_0}{\alpha}-\frac{a\pi_0\gamma_5}{\alpha}, \tag{20}$$

where the the subscripts $_0$ in the fields stand for their constant (mean-field) values in the vacuum. The gap equations are found by the stationary conditions:

$$\frac{\delta\mathcal{S}_{eff}}{\delta\sigma}\Big|_{\sigma=<\sigma>}=0,\quad \frac{\delta\mathcal{S}_{eff}}{\delta\pi}\Big|_{\pi=<\pi>}=0,\quad \frac{\delta\mathcal{S}_{eff}}{\delta\eta}\Big|_{\eta=<\eta>}=0,\quad \frac{\delta\mathcal{S}_{eff}}{\delta\zeta}\Big|_{\zeta=<\zeta>}=0, \tag{21}$$

They turn out to be:

$$<\bar{\psi}\psi>=i\,\mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{i\partial\!\!\!/ - m^*}\right), \tag{22}$$

$$<\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi>=0, \tag{23}$$

$$<\bar{\psi}\psi\bar{\psi}\psi>=<\bar{\psi}\psi>^2, \tag{24}$$

where we have used the definitions $<\sigma>=a<\bar{\psi}\psi>$, $<\pi>=a<\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi>$, $<\eta>=r<\bar{\psi}\psi\bar{\psi}\psi>$ and $<\zeta>=u<\bar{\psi}\psi\bar{\psi}\psi>+t<\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi\,\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi>$. The condensates appear as the values of the auxiliary fields in the stationary configurations. Equation (22) is the gap equation obtained in [14], where the U(1) model has also been studied. From the above exercise we conclude that the factorization hypothesis holds in the U(1) model, where there is no 6th order interaction !

III. THE NJL MODEL WITH SU(3) SYMMETRY

We now consider the SU(3) version of the model, as in Ref. [17]. The Lagrangian is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = \quad & \bar{\psi}_i \left(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m_0\right) \psi_i + g_4[(\bar{\psi}_i P_R \psi_m)(\bar{\psi}_m P_L \psi_i)] + \kappa(\det \bar{\psi} P_L \psi + \det \bar{\psi} P_R \psi) + 8g_1[(\bar{\psi}_i P_R \psi_m)(\bar{\psi}_m P_L \psi_i)]^2 \\ & +16 g_2 (\bar{\psi}_i P_R \psi_m)(\bar{\psi}_m P_L \psi_j)(\bar{\psi}_j P_R \psi_k)(\bar{\psi}_k P_L \psi_i), \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where i, j,...etc, are flavor indices running from 1 to 3 and the summation over repeated indices is understood. In the above expression the first interaction term is the usual fourth order NJL and the second is the 't Hooft determinantal term due to instantons. This term reduces to a sixth order term in the $SU(3)$ case studied below. The last two pieces of (25) are the most general chiral invariant eighth order interaction terms, which may be attributed to instanton physics [21, 22] or to vacuum polarization effects [23, 24]. It is interesting to note that whereas the 't Hooft interaction makes the ground state of the model unstable these eighth order interactions restore the stability of the vacuum [17]. Using the definition of the projectors (3), the above Lagrangian can be rewritten in a less compact but more useful form:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} \; = \; & \bar{\psi} \left(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m_0\right) \psi + \frac{g_4}{2} \left((\overline{\psi}\lambda_b \psi)^2 + (\overline{\psi}\lambda_c \gamma_5 \psi)^2 \right) + \frac{\kappa}{48} d_{abc} \overline{\psi}\lambda_a \psi \left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_b \psi \overline{\psi}\lambda_c \psi - 3\overline{\psi}\lambda_b \gamma_5 \psi \overline{\psi}\lambda_c \gamma_5 \psi \right) \\ & + \frac{g_1}{2} \left((\overline{\psi}\lambda_a \psi)^2 + (\overline{\psi}\lambda_a \gamma_5 \psi)^2 \right)^2 \\ & + \frac{g_2}{8} d_{abe} d_{cde} \left[\overline{\psi}\lambda_a \psi \overline{\psi}\lambda_b \psi \overline{\psi}\lambda_c \psi \overline{\psi}\lambda_d \psi + \overline{\psi}\lambda_a \gamma_5 \psi \overline{\psi}\lambda_b \gamma_5 \psi \overline{\psi}\lambda_c \gamma_5 \psi \overline{\psi}\lambda_d \gamma_5 \psi + 2\overline{\psi}\lambda_a \psi \overline{\psi}\lambda_b \psi \overline{\psi}\lambda_c \gamma_5 \psi \overline{\psi}\lambda_d \gamma_5 \psi \right] \\ & + \frac{g_2}{2} f_{ace} f_{bde} \overline{\psi}\lambda_a \psi \overline{\psi}\lambda_b \psi \overline{\psi}\lambda_c \gamma_5 \psi \overline{\psi}\lambda_d \gamma_5 \psi \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where the indices $i, j, ...$ etc of the SU(3) fundamental representation have been omitted and only the indices $a, b, ...$ etc of the adjoint representation are shown.

In what follows we will repeat the steps of the previous section but now new auxiliary variables will be needed to account for the sixth and two different eighth order interactions. The generating functional now reads:

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}[\overline{\psi}, \psi] \, \exp \, i \int d^4x \, \mathcal{L} \tag{27}$$

with $\mathcal{L}$ given by (26). In the above Lagrangian there are terms with eight fields which will give rise to four-quark condensates. Since we want to make sure that we are not using any factorization hypothesis from the beginning, we must introduce the following four auxiliary variables: $\bar{\psi}\lambda_a\psi$, $\bar{\psi}\lambda_a\gamma_5\psi$, $\bar{\psi}\lambda_a\psi\bar{\psi}\lambda_a\psi$ and $\bar{\psi}\lambda_a\gamma_5\psi\bar{\psi}\lambda_a\gamma_5\psi$. For the sake of convenience, the last two variables will be recombined and will become two new variables. The final four auxiliary variables will then be φ_a, π_a, ϕ_a and ω_a and we will write four gap equations for them. We will not be able to recover the same results found in [16] because here we include terms with eight fields whereas in [16] there are no such terms. Moreover the four quark condensate is for us an independent dynamical variable. The bosonization method used here relies on cancellations which come from shifts in the Gaussian integrals of the auxiliary variables. Given that we need at least four auxiliary variables to describe the four condensates considered (two 2-quark and two 4-quark condensates), we could proceed by shifting these four auxiliary variables by the appropriate number of quark bilinears and quadrilinears in such a way that all the Lagrangian interactions would be cancelled out. However, it turns out that this is not possible because crossed terms from the shifts introduce spurious Lagrangian interactions which were not present in the original model. Therefore, further auxiliary variables must be introduced so that the individual shifts for the auxiliary variables yield the expected terms for the cancellations. In the end all but four can be eliminated, resulting in the four gap equations.

Following the steps of the preceding section we multiply the above expression by a constant factor written as:

$$1 \; = \; N' \int \mathcal{D}[\phi_a] \, e^{-i\int d^4x \frac{1}{2\alpha}\phi_a^2} \int \mathcal{D}[\varphi_a, \pi_a] \, e^{-i\int d^4x \frac{1}{2\beta}(\varphi_a^2 + \pi_a^2)} \int \mathcal{D}[\omega_a] \, e^{-i\int d^4x \frac{1}{2\delta}\omega_a^2} \tag{28}$$

In this expression there are four auxiliary variables φ_a, π_a, ϕ_a, and ω_a. We next rewrite the action introducing shifts analogous to those of expressions (6), (7), (8) and (9). Following the steps of the last section, we would now be tempted to introduce the same shifts (except for the flavor indices) and they would lead to the shifted variables $\varphi_a = \bar{\psi}\lambda_a\psi$, $\pi_a = \bar{\psi}\lambda_a\gamma_5\psi$, $\phi = \bar{\psi}\lambda_a\psi\bar{\psi}\lambda_a\psi$ and $\omega = \bar{\psi}\lambda_a\gamma_5\psi\bar{\psi}\lambda_a\gamma_5\psi$. After the extremization of the action, the obtained values for these variables would be the condensates. We might then expect to find relations among them such as, for example, $\phi \propto \varphi_a^2$, etc... However, as it is can be easily checked, with these simple shifts the needed cancellation of the higher power fermion bilinears in the action will not happen! This is mainly due to the appearance

of the SU(3) structure constants in (26). We will develop a strategy to circumvent this problem. We start noting that:

$$\phi_a^2 \,=\, \frac{3}{5}(d_{abc}\phi_a)(d_{dbc}\phi_d) \tag{29}$$

and also that:

$$\omega_a^2 \,=\, \frac{1}{N_f}(f_{abc}\omega_a)(f_{dbc}\omega_d). \tag{30}$$

We now introduce the shifts which will lead to a cancellation of both the 't Hooft and the eighth order interaction terms (with g_1 and g_2). They are:

$$-\frac{1}{2\beta}(\varphi_a^2+\pi_a^2) \rightarrow \quad -\frac{1}{2\beta}\left((\varphi_a-\epsilon_s(\overline{\psi}\lambda_a\psi))^2+(\pi_a-\epsilon_p(\overline{\psi}i\gamma_5\lambda_a\psi))^2\right), \tag{31}$$

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{1}{2\alpha}\phi_a^2=-\frac{3}{10\alpha}(d_{abc}\phi_a)(d_{dbc}\phi_d) \rightarrow \quad & -\frac{3}{10\alpha}\left(\phi_a d_{abc}-T_a d_{abc}(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi)^2-\epsilon_1 f_{bco}f_{ode}\overline{\psi}\lambda_d\psi\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi-\epsilon_3 d_{abc}(\overline{\psi}\lambda_a\psi)\right. \\ & \left.-\epsilon_2\delta_{bc}(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi)^2\right)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{1}{2\delta}\omega_a^2=-\frac{1}{2\delta N_f}(f_{abc}\omega_a)(f_{dbc}\omega_d) \rightarrow \quad & -\frac{1}{2\delta N_f}\left(\omega_a f_{abc}-\epsilon_1\sqrt{\frac{1}{32\alpha\delta}}d_{abc}d_{ade}(\overline{\psi}\lambda_d\psi)(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi)-\epsilon_4 d_{abc}d_{ade}(\overline{\psi}\lambda_d\gamma_5\psi)(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\gamma_5\psi)\right. \\ & \left.-T_a f_{abc}(\overline{\psi}\lambda_d\psi)^2-T_a f_{abc}(\overline{\psi}\lambda_d i\gamma_5\psi)^2-\epsilon_5 d_{abc}(\overline{\psi}\lambda_a\psi)\right)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where ϵ_s, ϵ_p, ϵ_1, ϵ_2, ϵ_3, ϵ_4 and ϵ_5 are constants and T_a is a constant flavor vector. From (33) we have:

$$\omega_a f_{abc}=\epsilon_1\sqrt{\frac{1}{32\alpha\delta}}d_{abc}d_{ade}(\overline{\psi}\lambda_d\psi)(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi)+\epsilon_4 d_{abc}d_{ade}(\overline{\psi}\lambda_d\gamma_5\psi)(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\gamma_5\psi)+T_a f_{abc}\left[(\overline{\psi}\lambda_d\psi)^2+(\overline{\psi}\lambda_d i\gamma_5\psi)^2\right]+\epsilon_5 d_{abc}(\overline{\psi}\lambda_a\psi) \tag{34}$$

Multiplying the above equation by f_{ebc} we find:

$$\omega_e = T_e\left[(\overline{\psi}\lambda_d\psi)^2+(\overline{\psi}\lambda_d i\gamma_5\psi)^2\right] \tag{35}$$

where we have used the following SU(3) algebra relations: $d_{abc}d_{ebc}=\frac{5}{3}\delta_{ae}$, $f_{abc}f_{ebc}=N_f\delta_{ae}$, $\delta_{aa}=(N_f^2-1)$ and also that $\delta_{bc}f_{abc}=\delta_{bc}d_{abc}=f_{abc}d_{dbc}=0$. Multiplying the above expression by T_e and dividing by $T.T$ we find:

$$\omega=\frac{T_e\omega_e}{T.T}=(\overline{\psi}\lambda_d\psi)^2+(\overline{\psi}\lambda_d i\gamma_5\psi)^2 \tag{36}$$

The variable ω is the normalized average of ω_e over the SU(3) directions and is directly related to the combination of four quark condensates and it is the SU(3) analogue of the ζ variable defined in the last section (9). For completeness we derive the equivalent definition of ϕ. From (32) we have:

$$\phi_a d_{abc}=T_a d_{abc}(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi)^2+\epsilon_1 f_{bco}f_{ode}\overline{\psi}\lambda_d\psi\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi+\epsilon_3 d_{abc}(\overline{\psi}\lambda_a\psi)+\epsilon_2\delta_{bc}(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi)^2 \tag{37}$$

Multiplying this equation by d_{ebc} we have:

$$\frac{5}{3}\phi_e=\frac{5}{3}T_e(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi)^2+\frac{5}{3}\epsilon_3(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi) \tag{38}$$

Multiplying this expression by T_e and dividing by $T.T$ we have:

$$\phi=\frac{T_e\phi_e}{T.T}=(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi)^2+\frac{T_e(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi)\epsilon_3}{T.T} \tag{39}$$

with ϕ being the analogue of η defined above in (9). The shifts above however do not cancel out all the sixth and eighth order terms. Now we perform the following substitution in the first term of (28), quadratic in ϕ_a

$$e^{-i\int d^4x\frac{1}{2\alpha}\phi_a^2}=e^{-i\int d^4x\frac{1}{2\mu}\phi_a^2}\int D[\xi_a]e^{-i\int d^4x\,\frac{\xi_a^2}{2\gamma}}\int D[\sigma_a]e^{i\int d^4x\,\sigma_a(\phi_a-\xi_a)}, \tag{40}$$

where the second integral is the delta function $\delta[\phi_a - \xi_a]$ and $1/\alpha = 1/\mu + 1/\gamma$. We have introduced the new auxiliary variable ξ_a which will be integrated out later. The shift that allows for the cancellation of the remaining interactions is the folllowing:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2\gamma}\xi_a^2 \;=\; \frac{1}{2\gamma N_f}(f_{abc}\xi_a)(f_{dbc}\xi_d) \to \quad & \frac{1}{2\gamma N_f}\left(f_{abc}\xi_a - d_{abc}d_{ade}\epsilon_6(\overline{\psi}\lambda_d\psi)(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi) + f_{abc}T_a(\overline{\psi}\lambda_{a'}\psi)^2\right. \\ & \left.-\epsilon_3 d_{a'bc}(\overline{\psi}\lambda_{a'}\psi) - \epsilon_3 f_{a'bc}(\overline{\psi}\lambda_{a'}\psi)\right) \\ & \times\left(f_{dbc}\xi_d - d_{dbc}d_{def}\epsilon_6(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi)(\overline{\psi}\lambda_f\psi) + f_{abc}T_a(\overline{\psi}\lambda_{a'}\psi)^2\right. \\ & \left.-\epsilon_3 d_{a'bc}(\overline{\psi}\lambda_{a'}\psi) - \epsilon_3 f_{a'bc}(\overline{\psi}\lambda_{a'}\psi)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

The shift above introduces a shift in the term $\sigma_a(\phi_a - \xi_a)$ which can be carried out remembering that:

$$\sigma_a(\phi_a - \xi_a) = \frac{f_{abc}\sigma_a}{N_f}(f_{dbc}\phi_d - f_{dbc}\xi_d) \tag{42}$$

With the variables and the shifts defined above our strategy will be to compute the cancellations of the higher powers of fermion fields and the integration over these fields. Then, extremizing the action with respect to the four relevant auxiliary variables, we will obtain gap equations for φ_a, π_a, ϕ_a and ω_a and solve them. The expressions for ϕ_a and ω_a will then be converted into expressions for ϕ and ω simply by being multiplied by $T_a/T.T$. Finally, solving the gap equations we will find relations between the different condensates.

Inserting (26), (28) and (41) into (27) we find:

$$\begin{aligned} Z \;=\; & \int \mathcal{D}[\overline{\psi},\psi]\int \mathcal{D}[\sigma_a,\phi_a,\varphi_a,\pi_a,\xi_a,\omega_a]\exp\, i\int d^4x\,\left[\overline{\psi}\left(i\partial\!\!\!/ - \left(m_0 - \frac{\epsilon_s\varphi_a\lambda_a}{\beta} - \frac{\epsilon_p i\gamma_5\lambda_a\pi_a}{\beta} - \frac{\epsilon_3\xi_a\lambda_a}{\gamma} - \frac{\epsilon_3\phi_a\lambda_a}{\alpha}\right)\right)\psi\right. \\ & + \frac{f_{abc}\sigma_a}{N_f}(f_{dbc}\phi_d - \left(f_{dbc}\xi_d - d_{dbc}d_{def}\epsilon_6(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi)(\overline{\psi}\lambda_f\psi) + f_{abc}T_a(\overline{\psi}\lambda_{a'}\psi)^2 - \epsilon_3 d_{a'bc}(\overline{\psi}\lambda_{a'}\psi) - \epsilon_3 f_{a'bc}(\overline{\psi}\lambda_{a'}\psi)\right)) \\ & - \frac{\varphi_a^2+\pi_a^2}{2\beta} - \frac{\phi_a^2}{2\mu} - \frac{\omega_a^2}{2\delta} - \frac{\xi_a^2}{2\gamma} - \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\epsilon_3 - \frac{1}{\gamma}\epsilon_3\right)T_a(\overline{\psi}\lambda_{a'}\psi)((\overline{\psi}\lambda_b\psi))^2 \\ & - \left(\frac{T_a^2}{\delta} - g_1\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_a\gamma_5\psi\right)^4 - \left(\frac{T_a^2}{\delta} - g_1\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_a\psi\right)^2\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_b\gamma_5\psi\right)^2 - \left(\frac{T_a^2}{2\delta} + \frac{T_a^2}{2\alpha} + \frac{T_a^2}{2\gamma} - \frac{(N_f^2-1)}{2N_f\alpha}\epsilon_2^2 - \frac{g_1}{2}\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_a\psi\right)^4 \\ & - \left(\frac{\epsilon_p^2}{2\beta} - g_4 - \frac{T_a\cdot\omega_a}{\delta}\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_b\gamma_5\psi\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\epsilon_6^2 5/3}{2N_f\gamma} + \frac{\epsilon_1^2 5/3}{2N_f\delta}\frac{1}{32\alpha\delta} - \frac{g_2}{8}\right)d_{abc}d_{aef}\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_b\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_c\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_f\psi\right) \\ & - \left(\frac{\epsilon_4^2 5/3}{2N_f\delta} - \frac{g_2}{8}\right)d_{abc}d_{aef}\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_b\gamma_5\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_c\gamma_5\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\gamma_5\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_f\gamma_5\psi\right) \\ & - \left(\frac{\epsilon_4\epsilon_1 5/3}{N_f\delta}\sqrt{\frac{1}{32\alpha\delta}} - \frac{2g_2}{8}\right)d_{abc}d_{aef}\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_b\gamma_5\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_c\gamma_5\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_f\psi\right) \\ & - \left(\frac{3N_f\epsilon_1^2}{10\alpha} - g_2\right)f_{abc}f_{aef}\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_b\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_c\gamma_5\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_f\gamma_5\psi\right) \\ & - \left(\frac{5/3\epsilon_6\epsilon_3}{\gamma N_f} + \frac{\epsilon_4\epsilon_5 5/3}{\delta N_f} - \frac{\kappa}{48}\right)d_{bce}\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_b\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_c\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi\right) \\ & + \left(\frac{\epsilon_4\epsilon_5 5/3}{\delta N_f} + \frac{3\kappa}{48}\right)d_{bce}\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_b\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_c\gamma_5\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\gamma_5\psi\right) \\ & \left. + \left(-\frac{\epsilon_s^2}{2\beta} + g_4 + \frac{\phi_a T_a}{\alpha} - \frac{\xi_a T_a}{\gamma} - \frac{\epsilon_3^2 5/3}{2N_f\gamma} - \frac{\epsilon_3^2}{2\gamma} - \frac{\epsilon_3^2}{2\alpha} - \frac{\epsilon_5^2 5/3}{2\delta} + \frac{\omega_a T_a}{\delta}\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_b\psi\right)^2\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{43, 44}$$

Next the variable σ_a can be integrated out, yielding a delta function which implies that $\xi_a = \phi_a + \epsilon_3(\overline{\psi}\lambda_a\psi) + T_a(\overline{\psi}\lambda_b\psi)^2$.

The above expression becomes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z \;=\; & \int \mathcal{D}[\overline{\psi},\psi] \int \mathcal{D}[\phi_a,\varphi_a,\pi_a,\omega_a] \exp\, i\int d^4x \left[\overline{\psi}\left(i\partial\!\!\!/ - \left(m_0 - \frac{\epsilon_s\varphi_a\lambda_a}{\beta} - \frac{\epsilon_p i\gamma_5\lambda_a\pi_a}{\beta} - \frac{\epsilon_3\phi_a\lambda_a}{\gamma} - \frac{\epsilon_3\phi_a\lambda_a}{\alpha}\right)\right)\right. \\
& -\frac{\varphi_a^2+\pi_a^2}{2\beta} - \frac{\phi_a^2}{2\alpha} - \frac{\omega_a^2}{2\delta} - \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\epsilon_3 - \frac{2}{\gamma}\epsilon_3\right) T_a(\overline{\psi}\lambda_{a'}\psi)((\overline{\psi}\lambda_b\psi))^2 \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{T_a^2}{\delta} - g_1\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_a\gamma_5\psi\right)^4 - \left(\frac{T_a^2}{\delta} - g_1\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_a\psi\right)^2\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_b\gamma_5\psi\right)^2 - \left(\frac{T_a^2}{2\delta} + \frac{4T_a^2}{\alpha} + \frac{T_a^2}{2\gamma} - \frac{(N_f^2-1)}{2N_f\alpha}\epsilon_2^2 - \frac{g_1}{2}\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_a\psi\right)^4 \\
& - \left(\frac{\epsilon_p^2}{2\beta} - g_4 - \frac{T_a\cdot\omega_a}{\delta}\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_b\gamma_5\psi\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\epsilon_6^2}{2\gamma} + \frac{\epsilon_1^2}{2\delta}\frac{1}{32\alpha\delta} - \frac{3N_f g_2}{20}\right) d_{abc}d_{aef}\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_b\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_c\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_f\psi\right) \\
& - \left(\frac{\epsilon_4^2 5/3}{2N_f\delta} - \frac{g_2}{8}\right) d_{abc}d_{aef}\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_b\gamma_5\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_c\gamma_5\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\gamma_5\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_f\gamma_5\psi\right) \\
& - \left(\frac{\epsilon_4\epsilon_1 5/3}{N_f\delta}\sqrt{\frac{1}{32\alpha\delta}} - \frac{2g_2}{8}\right) d_{abc}d_{aef}\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_b\gamma_5\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_c\gamma_5\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_f\psi\right) \\
& - \left(\frac{3N_f\epsilon_1^2}{10\alpha} - g_2\right) f_{abc}f_{aef}\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_b\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_c\gamma_5\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_f\gamma_5\psi\right) \\
& - \left(\frac{5/3\epsilon_6\epsilon_3}{N_f\gamma} + \frac{\epsilon_4\epsilon_5 5/3}{\delta N_f} - \frac{\kappa}{48}\right) d_{bce}\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_b\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_c\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi\right) \\
& + \left(\frac{\epsilon_4\epsilon_5 5/3}{\delta N_f} + \frac{3\kappa}{48}\right) d_{bce}\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_b\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_c\gamma_5\psi\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\gamma_5\psi\right) \\
& \left. + \left(-\frac{\epsilon_s^2}{2\beta} + g_4 + \frac{\phi_a T_a}{\alpha} - \frac{\phi_a T_a}{\gamma} - \frac{\epsilon_3^2 5/3}{2N_f\gamma} - \frac{\epsilon_3^2}{2\gamma} - \frac{\epsilon_3^2}{\gamma} - \frac{\epsilon_3^2}{2\gamma} - \frac{\epsilon_5^2 5/3}{2\delta} + \frac{\omega_a T_a}{\delta} + \frac{\epsilon_3^2}{\gamma} + \frac{\epsilon_3^2}{2\gamma}\right)\left(\overline{\psi}\lambda_b\psi\right)^2\right]. \qquad (45)
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above equation we can see that the desired cancellations of the self-interaction terms will happen if the following relations are satisfied:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\alpha &= \gamma && (46)\\
\frac{T_a^2}{\delta} &= g_1 && (47)\\
\frac{T_a^2}{\alpha} &= \frac{2(N_f^2-1)}{17N_f\alpha}\epsilon_2^2 && (48)\\
\frac{\epsilon_6^2}{\gamma} + \frac{\epsilon_1^2}{\delta}\frac{1}{32\alpha\delta} &= \frac{3N_f}{20}g_2 && (49)\\
\frac{\epsilon_4^2}{\delta} &= \frac{6N_f}{40}g_2 && (50)\\
\frac{\epsilon_1^2}{\alpha} &= \frac{5}{3}g_2 && (51)\\
\frac{\epsilon_6\epsilon_3}{\gamma} + \frac{\epsilon_4\epsilon_5}{\delta} &= \frac{N_f}{80}\kappa && (52)\\
\frac{\epsilon_4\epsilon_5}{\delta} &= -\frac{3N_f}{80}\kappa && (53)\\
\frac{\epsilon_s^2}{2\beta} + \frac{\epsilon_3^2 5/3}{2N_f\gamma} + \frac{\epsilon_3^2}{2\gamma} + \frac{5/3\epsilon_5^2}{2\delta} &= \frac{T_a\cdot\phi_a}{\gamma} + g_4 + \frac{T_a\cdot\omega_a}{\delta} && (54)\\
\frac{\epsilon_p^2}{\beta} &= g_4 + \frac{T_a\cdot\omega_a}{\delta}. && (55)
\end{aligned}
$$

From the first identity, one also has: $\mu = \gamma$. The last expression implies that the shift parameters ϵ_s and ϵ_p are actually functions of the auxiliary variables ϕ_a and ω_a. This is not a problem because the corresponding shifts for the fields φ_a and π_a in expression (31) still have unitary Jacobians. From expressions (49), (50), (52) and (53) there is a non-ambiguous identification of ϵ_5 (and ϵ_3) with the 't Hooft coupling constant κ. This will be a relevant issue.

The generating functional of the resulting effective theory becomes:

$$Z = N' \int \mathcal{D}[\overline{\psi},\psi] \int \mathcal{D}[\phi_a,\varphi_a,\pi_a,\omega_a] \exp\, i \int d^4x \left[\overline{\psi} \left[\not{\partial} - \left(m_0 - \frac{\epsilon_s \varphi_a \lambda_a}{\beta} - \frac{\epsilon_p i\gamma_5 \lambda_a \pi_a}{\beta} - \frac{3\epsilon_3 \phi_a \lambda_a}{2\alpha} \right) \right] \psi \right.$$
$$\left. -\frac{1}{2\alpha}\phi_a^2 - \frac{1}{2\beta}(\varphi_a^2+\pi_a^2) - \frac{1}{2\delta}\omega_a^2 \right]. \tag{56}$$

The integration over the quark fields yields :

$$Z = N'' \int \mathcal{D}[\phi_a,\varphi_a,\pi_a,\omega_a]\, exp\left[-i \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{2\mu}\phi_a^2 + \frac{1}{\delta}\omega_a^2 + \frac{1}{2\beta}(\varphi_a^2+\pi_a^2) \right) \right]$$
$$exp\left[\mathrm{Tr}\ln\left(i\not{\partial} - \left(m_0 - \frac{\epsilon_s \varphi_a \lambda_a}{\beta} - \frac{\epsilon_p i\gamma_5 \lambda_a \pi_a}{\beta} - \frac{3\epsilon_3 \phi_a \lambda_a}{2\alpha} \right) \right) \right]. \tag{57}$$

where the big trace (Tr) is, as before, is taken over all discrete indices of color, flavor and Dirac, and also implies a space-time integration. From the above expressions we can read the following effective action:

$$\mathcal{S}_{eff} = -i\mathrm{Tr}\ln\left(i\not{\partial} - \left(m_0 - \frac{\epsilon_s \varphi_a \lambda_a}{\beta} - \frac{\epsilon_p i\gamma_5 \lambda_a \pi_a}{\beta} - 3\frac{\epsilon_3 \phi_a \lambda_a}{2\alpha} \right) \right)$$
$$- \int d^4x \left(\frac{\phi_a^2}{2\alpha} + \frac{\omega_a^2}{2\delta} + \frac{\varphi_a^2}{2\beta} + \frac{\pi_a^2}{2\beta} \right). \tag{58}$$

The gap equations for the variables ϕ_a, ω_a, π_a and φ_a are the following:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{eff}}{\partial \varphi_a}\Big|_{\varphi_a = <\varphi_a>} = 0 \rightarrow <\varphi_a> = -\left[\mathrm{Tr'} \frac{i}{i\not{\partial} - m^*} \right] \lambda_a \epsilon_s, \tag{59}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{eff}}{\partial \pi_a}\Big|_{\pi_a = <\pi_a>} = 0 \rightarrow <\pi_a> = -\left[\mathrm{Tr'} \frac{i}{i\not{\partial} - m^*} \right] \lambda_a i\gamma_5 \epsilon_p = 0, \tag{60}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{eff}}{\partial \phi_a}\Big|_{\phi_a = <\phi_a>} = 0 \rightarrow <\phi_a> = -\left[\mathrm{Tr'} \frac{i}{i\not{\partial} - m^*} \right] \alpha \left(T_a \frac{\varphi \cdot \lambda}{\gamma \epsilon_s} + \frac{3}{2\alpha}\epsilon_3 \lambda_a \right), \tag{61}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{eff}}{\partial \omega_a}\Big|_{\omega_a = <\omega_a>} = 0 \rightarrow <\omega_a> = -\left[\mathrm{Tr'} \frac{i}{i\not{\partial} - m^*} \right] \delta \left(T_a \frac{\lambda \cdot \varphi}{\epsilon_s} \right), \tag{62}$$

where the trace Tr' is to be taken in all discrete indices (except flavor) and spatial integration. In these equations the effective mass matrix was defined as:

$$m^* = m_0 - \frac{\epsilon_s < \varphi_a > \lambda_a}{\beta} - \frac{3\epsilon_3 < \phi_a > \lambda_a}{2\alpha}. \tag{63}$$

where the fields in brackets are the vacuum expectation values and we have used the following relations

$$\frac{\partial \epsilon_s}{\partial \phi_a} = \frac{\beta T_a}{\gamma \epsilon_s}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \epsilon_s}{\partial \omega_a} = \frac{\beta T_a}{\delta \epsilon_s}$$

We next define the quantity J, common to all gap equations:

$$J = -\mathrm{Tr'} \frac{i}{i\not{\partial} - m^*} \tag{64}$$

Since the above expression is the trace of the Fourier transform of the quark propagator, we conclude that the effective mass m^* matrix must be diagonal in flavor space. Therefore only ϕ_a with $a = 3, 8$ will contribute. The relevant gap equations can then be written as:

$$< \varphi_a > \; = \; J\epsilon_s \; \lambda_a \tag{65}$$

$$\phi \; = \; \alpha J \left(\frac{\varphi \cdot \lambda}{2\alpha\epsilon_s} + \frac{\epsilon_3 T \cdot \lambda}{\gamma T^2} \right), \tag{66}$$

$$\omega \; = \; \delta J \left(\frac{\varphi \cdot \lambda}{\delta\epsilon_s} \right). \tag{67}$$

where the conversions $< \phi_a > \rightarrow \phi$ and $< \omega_a > \rightarrow \omega$ were performed according to the prescription mentioned above and $\varphi \cdot \lambda =< \varphi_a > \cdot \lambda_a$. Multiplying the first equation above by λ_a, solving it for J and substituting it into the other two we obtain:

$$\phi = \frac{3\alpha}{32\alpha\epsilon_s^2}(\varphi \cdot \lambda)^2 + \frac{3\alpha\epsilon_3}{16\alpha\epsilon_s} \frac{(\varphi \cdot \lambda)(T \cdot \lambda)}{T^2} \equiv < (\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi)^2 > + \epsilon_3 T_e \cdot < (\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi) >, \tag{68}$$

$$\omega = \frac{3}{16\epsilon_s^2}(\varphi \cdot \lambda)^2 \tag{69}$$

where we have used the SU(3) relation: $\lambda_a\lambda_a = \frac{16}{3}$. And finally, using (36), (39) and $< \varphi_a >= \epsilon_s < \overline{\psi}\lambda_a\psi >$ on the above equations we find:

$$< (\overline{\psi}\lambda_e\psi)^2 >= \frac{3}{32}(< \overline{\psi}\lambda_a\psi > \lambda_a)^2 + \epsilon_3 \left(\frac{3(T \cdot \lambda)}{16T^2}\lambda_a - T_a \right) < \overline{\psi}\lambda_a\psi >, \tag{70}$$

$$< (\overline{\psi}\lambda_d\psi)^2 > + < (\overline{\psi}\lambda_d i\gamma_5\psi)^2 >= \frac{3}{16}(< \overline{\psi}\lambda_a\psi > \cdot\lambda_a)^2 \tag{71}$$

As it can be seen, in (70) factorization is violated by a constant factor (in front of the first term of the r.h.s) and, more seriously, by the presence of the term linear in $< \overline{\psi}\lambda_a\psi >$, which in turn comes from any non-vanishing value of ϵ_3. From Eqs. (47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55) we can see that ϵ_3 is determined mostly by κ, the coupling constant of the 't Hooft interaction. Expression (71) shows that a particular combination of the two fourth order condensates does exhibits approximate factorization. Moreover this expression suggests the existence of a fourth order chiral radius analogous to the usual one (i.e. $\overline{\psi}\lambda_a\psi + \overline{\psi}\lambda_a\gamma_5\psi$).

It might seem that there is a large arbitrariness in the choice of the shifts made above. However each one of them appear due to a precise reason, which is directly related to each of the terms of the original interactions. There must be a shift on the composite $(\overline{\psi}\lambda_d\psi)^2 + (\overline{\psi}\lambda_d i\gamma_5\psi)^2$ because of the g_1 interaction. This was implemented in the shift of ω_a which couples to those fourth order terms. On the other hand, if this shift includes any bilinear $\overline{\psi}\Gamma\psi$ it generates a sixth order term that does not exist in the original Lagrangian. Therefore this bilinear cannot couple directly to the field ω_a. This is the reason for the factorization of the condensate associated to ω. On the other hand the coupling of a bilinear is important for the cancellation of the 't Hooft term, and hence it must couple to the other field ϕ_a. This is the role of the term proportional to ϵ_3, which turns out to be proportional to the parameter ϵ_5, and both of them proportional to the 't Hooft term coupling κ to ensure the corresponding cancellations.

IV. DISCUSSION

At this point it is interesting to remember some previous results concerning the renormalization and renormalization scale dependence of the condensates discussed above [13, 25]. In more phenomenological approaches, such as QCDSR, the condensates are numbers assumed to be finite and extracted from the analysis of data on hadron spectroscopy. They are not calculated and hence nothing can be said about possible divergences and their renormalization. In the NJL approach described above, the $< \bar{\psi}\psi >$ condensate can be calculated with the gap equation (22). This calculation involves a UV divergent integral in momentum space, which represents a loop. Usually, at this point one introduces a cut-off which not only regularizes the integral but also sets a maximum momentum scale (Λ). The physics of the condensate is then "soft physics", involving only the low momentum quarks of the Dirac sea ($p < \Lambda$). In principle, although the models ($U(1)$ and $SU(3)$) considered here are non renormalizable, we could try to write renormalization

group equations to study the dependence of the results on the introduced scale Λ. However, since the number of parameters is very small and since we restrict ourselves to the description of low energy phenomena, it is enough to fit some data and fix the value of Λ. In first principle calculations of $<\bar{\psi}\psi>$, such as lattice QCD, one has to compute the same loop taking into account all the interactions described by the theory. As in the NJL case, one has to exclude the high momentum modes, i.e. the "perturbative part" of the condensate. This introduces a separation scale, which brings up the problems discussed above.

To summarize, in this work the auxiliary variable method was considered to investigate the factorization of the four quark condensates $<(\bar{\psi}\lambda_a\psi)^2>$ and $<(\bar{\psi}\lambda_a\gamma_5\psi)^2>$ into the quark-antiquark condensate from a dynamical point of view with the SU(3) NJL effective model of QCD with higher order interactions. For that an extension of the auxiliary variable method was applied to account for the several types of couplings of multiquark effective interaction terms up to the eighth order. Starting with the one flavor U(1) invariant model it was possible to obtain factorization. The peculiarity of this model is that it has no sixth order interaction. For the case of the full SU(3) model, the method was applied with new auxiliary variables with their corresponding shifts. These shifts are not very intuitive but they are needed to render possible all the cancellations of the sixth and eighth order terms. Different shifts might be associated to different combinations of the two four-quark condensates, such as those for the fields ϕ, ω, however the final result cannot present factorization for none of them. In particular, the shift in the variable ξ_a, in expression (41), is needed to cancel the 't Hooft term and part of the eighth order interaction. Due to this shift, new bilinear terms appear in (70). Therefore, in the case of the $<(\bar{\psi}\lambda_e\psi)^2>$ condensate, the deviation from the factorization hypothesis can be traced back to the SU(3) sixth order 't Hooft term. The inclusion of a generic sixth order term in the U(1) model, however, would not change the results, i.e. factorization would still appears in such flavorless model. On the other hand, the "chiral combination" $<(\overline{\psi}\lambda_d\psi)^2>+<(\overline{\psi}\lambda_d i\gamma_5\psi)^2>$ can still be factorized, up to a constant factor.

Acknowledgements

This work has been partially supported by FAPESP and CNPq, Brazil. We thank J.R. Peláez and J. Ruiz de Elvira for instructive discussions. F.L.B. is grateful for the hospitality extended to him at the IFUSP.

[1] C. McNeile, A. Bazavov, C. T. H. Davies, R. J. Dowdall, K. Hornbostel, G. P. Lepage and H. D. Trottier, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 034503 (2013).
[2] F. Burger, V. Lubicz, M. Muller-Preussker, S. Simula and C. Urbach, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 034514 (2013); [Publisher-note D **87**, 079904 (2013)].
[3] S. Narison, Phys. Lett. B **707**, 259 (2012); Phys. Lett. B **706**, 412 (2012).
[4] M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein and V.I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B **147**, 385 (1979).
[5] S. Narison, *QCD as a theory of hadrons*, Cambridge Monogr. Part. Phys. Nucl. Phys. Cosmol. **17**, 1 (2002).
[6] L.J. Reinders, H. Rubinstein and S. Yazaki, Phys. Rept. **127**, 1 (1985).
[7] S. Narison, *QCD spectral sum rules , World Sci. Lect. Notes Phys.* **26**, 1 (1989).
[8] P. Colangelo and A. Khodjamirian, Boris Ioffe Festschrift "At the Frontier of Particle Physics / Handbook of QCD", edited by M. Shifman (World Scientific, Singapore, 2001, [arXiv:hep-ph/0010175], [hep-ph/0010175];
[9] M. Nielsen, F.S. Navarra and S.H. Lee, Phys. Rept. **497**, 41 (2010).
[10] R. D'E. Matheus, F. S. Navarra, M. Nielsen, R. Rodrigues da Silva and S. H. Lee, Phys. Lett. B **578**, 323 (2004).
[11] R. Thomas, T. Hilger and B. Kampfer, Nucl. Phys. A **795**, 19 (2007).
[12] H. -s. Zong, D. -k. He, F. -y. Hou and W. -M. Sun, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **23**, 1507 (2008).
[13] A. Gomez Nicola, J. R. Pelaez and J. Ruiz de Elvira, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 074012 (2010).
[14] S.P. Klevansky, Rev. Mod. Phys. **64**, 649 (1992).
[15] U. Vogl and W. Weise, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **27**, 195 (1991).
[16] H. Reinhardt, R. Alkofer, Phys. Lett. **B 207**, 482 (1988).
[17] A. A. Osipov, B. Hiller, V. Bernard, and A. H. Blin, Ann. Phys. **321**, 2504 (2006).
[18] A. A. Osipov, B. Hiller, J. Moreira and A. H. Blin, Eur. Phys. J. C **46**, 225 (2006).
[19] A. A. Osipov, B. Hiller, A. H. Blin and J. da Providencia, Annals Phys. **322**, 2021 (2007).
[20] M. Creutz, Annals Phys. **323**, 2349 (2008).
[21] Yu. A. Simonov, Phys. Lett. **B 42**, 371 (1997).
[22] Yu. A. Simonov, Phys. Rev. **D 65** , 094018 (2002).
[23] E. Barros Jr., F.L. Braghin, Phys. Rev. **D 88**, 034011 (2013).
[24] A. Paulo Jr., F.L. Braghin, Phys. Rev. **D 90**, 014049 (2014).
[25] S. Narison, R. Tarrach, Phys. Lett. **B 125**, 217 (1983).